

HOW CAN WE MANAGE HUMAN CAPITAL TO STIMULATE INNOVATION?

Innovation is an essential component in the landscape of industry and services, and a requirement for competitiveness, both on the micro- and the macro-economic levels. One of the main pillars on which innovation rests is human capital: the involvement of key individuals, a vision of forward thinking and risk taking, project management, and recruitment of highly qualified and independent personnel.

The human factor of innovation management has never been easy, but in this decade the challenge seems to be particularly delicate. The usual dilemmas encountered in the management of innovation — short term versus long term, autonomy and freedom versus control and efficacy — are magnified by

INTRINSIC DEVELOPMENT MOTIVATES INNOVATIVE WORKERS BEST

increasingly fast structural economic changes and sudden redefinitions of business targets. The current economic crisis, which affects many sectors, amplifies the challenges while making it even more important to optimize the human factor in innovation. In this context, which is the best way to manage human resources to help innovation efficiently?

The need for specific human resources management practices for innovation

The methods that are traditionally used in management, which are centered on fair procedures, should still leave enough room for maneuver for managers of innovative staff. Recent publications have been very clear on this point, calling for the development of human resources practices that are more adapted to innovative workers than they are at the moment. This call for action is addressed to the recruitment as well as to the stimulation and regulation of human resources.

The first fact we have to face in human resources is that the profiles we're looking for in innovation are changing. These days, technical expertise has to be

complemented by skills in leadership, industry and marketing, computers and communications, and even intercultural skills. As it is impossible to find all these skills in one individual, the target should be to put together teams that include all the required competencies. Furthermore, the positions should give the individual a lot of autonomy and sufficient time for reflection.

In terms of stimulation within human resources, most companies recognize "intrinsic" the so-called development is the most motivating for innovating individuals: challenges requiring the recruitment of new competencies, autonomy and freedom to develop their own interest in innovation, and social recognition, which can come in many shapes (praise, responsibility and autonomy, honor awards, and personal accomplishment through an enhanced role). This is far removed from current practices, where stimulation methods in human resources used by many companies are based on control and money incentives. These practices don't satisfy the fundamental criteria for recognition felt by researchers. We can try to show recognition through financial incentives such as premiums for patent deposits - but the downside of this is that the reward seems to be going to an individual. However, innovation is rarely an individual achievement. The methods, procedures and professional input contributed to the team effort have to be taken into account.

In terms of regulating human resources, the recommendations can be largely divided into two areas: careers and training. The two-tier model, where you follow either a technical or a managerial career, is becoming questionable due to the way the work environment is evolving. Many new possibilities have

been created through job mobility, project centered careers, competency transfer careers, entrepreneurial careers, and the expansion of our employability by acquiring new skills. In terms of training there are many programs that can help to move forward the career and working effectiveness of highly qualified individuals: training in creativity, teamwork, team management and leadership; project, finance compatibility management; problem solving, and more. Beyond these individual aspects, intellectual resources also require careful management in terms of the exchange and sharing of knowledge.

Human resources management in innovation should be made more specific for this group of individuals. However, is this a pertinent and valid fact in all sectors that employ innovative workers? Is this point of view shared by managers in research and development and human resources specialists?

Diverse responses observed in practice

In an attempt to find answers to these questions, researchers from the university in Grenoble have carried out an investigation in four companies in the Rhône-Alpes region, which has shown diverse responses in practice. While these companies are influenced in different ways by cost cutting requirements and while they're all involved in different activities, all four are using human resources to optimize their innovation capabilities. Each of them recognizes the importance of the company culture, the necessity of an open organization and coaching, and each shows a willingness to improve the motivation for the activity itself, to feed the passion of the researchers.

However, those in charge of recruiting

(continued on p. 7)



innovative individuals in these four companies have different views on the question of whether these employees need specific management or not. In two of the investigated companies, one of the most noticeable responses from managers was their regret of not having any specific rules or tools of human resources management for their

TECHNICAL EXPERTISE now requires LEADERSHIP CAPABILITIES

engineers. Therefore, even though there are various internal progression paths, the recruitment of specialists is limited and the possibilities for recognition are deemed insufficient for researchers: "There's a lack of a reward system, a grading system that recognizes people's competency in technical terms"; "people with an R & D profile are in a difficult position [...]. There is no way of honoring them. The company treats everyone the same."

In contrast, the human resources managers of the two other investigated companies are more reluctant in differentiating between individuals involved in innovative activities versus other categories of employees. For one company, it's a question of strategy: one of their features is the diffuse character of the innovation process; none of the questioned workers in various departments holds the view that innovation is a driving force, and the responsibility for innovation is shared between the various team workers. In this situaton, the idea of treating innovative people within the company differently does not make sense, and there is no benefit from implementing different human resources incentives for different types of employees. In the last investigated company, the response was in the same vein: far from giving researchers separate treatment, the management prefers to find ways to bring them closer to the other employees, both in terms of careers and in terms of rewards.

These different approaches are probably due to different factors, such as the type of activity, the investments made in research, or the difficulty the company experiences in attracting and holding on innovative key individuals. Nonetheless, this investigation has helped us to understand that within human resources management for innovation there is a dilemma between a specific and a standardized approach.

Innovation management: the dilemma between a specific and a general approach

Good management for innovative individuals requires the implementation of human resources management practices that are specifically adapted to the profiles of these workers. The question whether there should be a specific form of management for innovative staff is a point of debate and tension in all four investigated companies. In other words, views on whether there should be a specific or a general approach for innovative workers varies between the R & D departments on one hand and the human resources departments on the other, and there is disagreement on how to proceed. The discussions we carried out in our investigation with those involved have demonstrated the acuity of this debate. The argument for specific management for innovative employees is limited either by cost restraints or in a larger sense by the refusal to link innovation exclusively to people who are working in R & D teams.

In conclusion, we'd like to give a general method, using two criteria, to answer the question whether or not there should be a different management approach for innovative employees. The first criterion is the context: is cutting costs the company's first priority, to the point where the innovation budget has to be reduced, or does the management want to promote innovation as a cornerstone on which decisions will be based? In the first case, the main target is to optimize existing procedures; in the second, the priority is to create a breakthrough technology. The second criterion is the management vision on innovative workers in relation to human resources policies: do they pursue special treatment or are they reluctant to diversify within the company?



Christian Defélix Project Leader for "Human resources in innovation", at the IAE of Grenoble. christian.defelix@iae-grenoble.fr

SUBSCRIPTION PREMIUM

PLEASE RETURN TO BIOTECH FINANCES EEI - Fax. + 33 9 8008 5146 / Mail. subscriptions@biotech-finances.com I would like to subscribe to the Biotech Finances Newsletter for one year, including: 44 issues + the Biomap + access to our web services and the Newsletter Smartphone (current newsletter + archives + international on-line news articles, the on-line Biomap, etc.), the complete archives starting from 1999 (in English from Issue 401, January 2009). 1 YEAR: 1170,00 € ☐ Payment by bank transfer: Account name: EDITIONS EUROPEENNES DE L'INNOVATION RIB / Bank code: 10468 / Branch code: 02283 / Account No. 20705100200 / RIB Key: 57 / Home town: LYON LAFAYETTE / IBAN: FR76 1046 8022 8320 7051 0020 057 / BIC: SWIFT BIC: RALPFR2G ☐ Payment by bank card: Visa and Mastercard only Expiry date : _____ / 20____ Security code : _____ Please fill in your details below, or include your business card. Company:.....Profession:.... Country : Email : Signature : Phone. :..... Fax:

